1	STATE OF NEW YOR SUPREME COURT			
2				
3	THE PEOPLE OF TH	IE STATE OF	NEW YORK,	
4	-against-			INDICTMENT NO. 202N/11
5	ULISES BONILLA,			NYSID NO.
6		Defendant.		5853 <b>1</b> 79M
7		 262 Old Coun		
8		Mineola, N.Y		
9	M	May 15, 2012		
10	<u>M</u>	MINUTES OF S	ENTENCE	
11				
12	BEFORE: H		R. PECK me Court Justice	
13	APPEARAN	_ <del>-</del>	mic court ougeree	
14				
15	D		orney of Nassau Co	punty
16	A		strict Attorney,	
17			for the People	
18	A	••	the Defendant	
19		B16A Main St Roslyn, New		
20	AT GO DDEGENER 72	7-1		
21		Kimberly Her Official Spa	nandez, nish Interpreter	
22				
23			ALMOO nyon	
24			YANGO DASSAN	
25	C S	Cindy Kaye-F Senior Court	ink of the CI the M	77
			RECEIVED	

1	THE CLERK: This is indictment 202N of 2011,
2	Ulises Bonilla. You are Ulises Bonilla?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Let the record reflect the
5	presence of the Spanish interpreter, who is required for
6	the defendant.
7	Counsel, please note your appearances for the
8	record.
9	MS. ABDI: For the People, Zeena Abdi,
10	assistant district attorney. Good morning, your Honor.
11	MR. MILLMAN: For the defendant, Daniel
12	Millman, 316A Main Street, Roslyn, New York.
13	THE CLERK: Mr. Bonilla, you appear here with
14	your attorney for sentence under indictment 202N of
15	2011.
16	Counsel, is your client ready for sentence?
17	MR. MILLMAN: Yes, your Honor.
1.8	THE CLERK: Do the People wish to be heard?
19	MS. ABDI: Yes, your Honor. The People are
20	also advising the Court that the deceased's daughter,
21	Nancy Villatoro, would also like to speak at sentencing
22	before your Honor renders his ultimate sentence in this
23	case.
24	It is the People's position at this time, the
25	People are asking that your Honor sentence the defendant

1.

1.5

to the maximum penalty allowable by law for each count for which he was convicted.

I know your Honor was the trial judge in this case and that your Honor is fully familiar with all the facts surrounding this case, and what I think from the People's perspective that stands out in this case is the ultimate destruction that the defendant, Ulises Bonilla, caused on the Villatoro family.

THE COURT: And his own.

MS. ABDI: And his own family, yes, your
Honor, by his actions in September of 2010. He
ultimately destroyed a family and it is for these
reasons, because of the defendant's behavior during the
span of four or five days in which he continually
escalated a conflict between the father of Jennifer
Villatoro, and he damaged not only Jennifer Villatoro,
but Armando Villatoro and completely destroyed a family
in a very violent act in which Mr. Villatoro was stabbed
multiple times in front of his own house, in front of
his own children.

It's for these reasons, your Honor, that the People are asking for the crimes for which he was convicted, which include murder in the second degree and rape in the first degree, that he be sentenced to the maximum allowable on all the charges for which he's

1 convicted.

Your Honor, at this time I would ask if Nancy Villatoro can say a few words to the Court regarding this case.

THE COURT: We have discussed this issue in chambers and ordinarily, there is a statutory procedure that must be gone through in order for a victim or a victim's family to give such an impact statement.

However, we knew that this was a possibility and there was no objection for this to occur. Agreed,

Mr. Millman?

MR. MILLMAN: Yes. I was provided with notice concerning this, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very good.

MS. VILLATORO: I would like to start off saying good morning to everybody in the courtroom, to my family and to Ulises's family. I want to say that we feel sorry for his family because they have to go through all his mistakes that he did and that Ulises, he was our friend back then, but now he did to us a lot of things. He did mess up our friendship. He messed up our family father, he took our father away. We miss our father now. Now we don't have him by our side.

He has his family and Ulises honestly killed himself by being in jail for the rest of his life. He

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

honestly just should have took it and spoken to my father about it. His family has to understand that he killed our father, his father is still alive. They keep trying to start problems with us, trying to say that it's our fault, we're not the victims. Our family, we're the victims. They should understand that his mistakes were killing our father and he shouldn't have never done that. He's a grown man. I believe he's 22 or 23. He should have spoken to my father about it and I believe his sister needs to honestly try to stop calling us names every time she sees us. She has to understand herself that her brother killed our father and that's not our fault. And neither is it theirs. They have to understand it's all his fault and he should learn from his mistakes and not only that, all we ask for is for justice and for him to serve his time and for him to know that we don't hate him, none of us hate him. We just hope that he learns from his mistakes and knows that what he did was very wrong and that next time he doesn't kill an honest man for no reason.

He was the one with the cause of my sister's rape, because my sister is only 12 years old now and she is suffering because of that. All my family is suffering because of the pain that we have in our heart. And we don't deserve this, because my dad was a very

great man to us. We see him as a very happy man and that they took us -- they took him away from us and now I hope he deserves time and I hope he realizes what he does. And not only that, I hope he does understand what he did was very wrong to our family, because my family deserves way much better. And I just wish him good luck in life and I hope he learns from his mistakes the first time. That's it.

THE COURT: Mr. Millman?

MR. MILLMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MILLMAN: Your Honor, first, before I begin, I would just like to point out that here on behalf of my client is numerous members of his family, you know, including his mother and father, Reina and Leonidas, his sisters, Diana and Reina, as well as Zeida, his girlfriend, and her brother, and they are all here in support of Ulises, to show their support for him.

Your Honor, first I just wanted to point out that I understand that the Court has received a probation report, a presentence report, and I recognize that in that report, though my client was interviewed, and it's my position that he completely cooperated with them in every respect, I recognize that when he spoke

1.1.

1.

1.5

with them, he indicated that he is not -- he did not commit those crimes. He has maintained his innocence from the beginning and continues to maintain his innocence.

I understand that we are here for sentence today, your Honor. But again, I would just hope that if the Court concludes that the presentence report is in any way negative, that it not base that conclusion on the fact that he maintains his innocence, because I don't believe, from my review of it, that it indicated he failed to cooperate in any manner and I don't believe that it was negative in any respect, based upon my review of it, your Honor.

Additionally, I've been asked to make a couple of statements just on behalf of some of his family members who have asked me to say certain things. His mother, Reina, has asked me to just point out to your Honor that, you know, he is a good person, has been a good person throughout his life. He has been good to his family. He has been a hard-working individual. I'm advised by the family that they have never seen him violent and that he had always worked. He always helped to support the household.

I've been asked by Diana, his sister, and I'm sure that all the family members concur in that as well,

that, you know, he has been a good brother to her. He's a good uncle to his nephews, he's a good father to his child, who is five years old, Andrea.

and evidence concerning certain incident that occurred, incidents, that the family hopes that the Court not just perceive this solely through the limited telescope of what was testified to in this trial, but rather also through the eyes of what the family is indicating, that he is a caring, loving individual and they say that with the understanding that the crimes for which he has been convicted are obviously, and it need not to be said, very, very serious, clearly.

And I also can say that the family, all the members of the family, their heart goes out to the Villatoro family and, you know, whether or not they realize this, this is said with a great deal of sincerity.

I would also like to say that my heart, my client's heart, our hearts go out to this family and that is meant sincerely and I hope that they recognize that.

There is no down playing the significance of the loss that this family has suffered. It's a tremendous loss and it's a tragedy, there's no question

about it, and I do not and would not ever try to downplay that, your Honor.

I've also be asked to point out by Zeida
Bonilla, his girlfriend, that Ulises has been actively
involved as a father and spends a lot of time with his
five-year-old daughter Andrea, and that he also has
helped to support Zeida, as well as his daughter, and
Zeida's other daughter, Adora, Alicia and her son, AB,
they are nine and eleven years old, and you know, the
family has asked your Honor to show as much leniency as
you possibly can under those circumstances, your Honor.

I would also like to just point out a couple of things that I would ask your Honor to take into account. No amount of punishment, as a practical matter, no amount of punishment could possibly undue the harm that has occurred here, your Honor.

As your Honor is aware, the defense filed a motion to set aside the verdict. I understand that your Honor has decided that motion against my client. I recognize that, so I am addressing the Court, based upon the allegations that the jury has found him guilty of, but I do want to stress that my client has and continues to maintain his innocence and that our position remains the same in terms of the appropriateness and accuracy of the jury's verdict, your Honor.

The minimum for the murder, your Honor, is itself, quite a heavy penalty, it's 15 years to life. You know, there is no reason, your Honor, I would submit, that he should not receive the minimum sentence and when we hear the word minimum, your Honor, there's a tendency to react and there is a tendency perhaps, as some of the people in this courtroom, to react as if it's not being taken seriously, but again, 15 years to life, it is a life sentence. And additionally, this is not a minimum sentence for all crimes, this is a minimum sentence for murder. The legislature has adapted this as the minimum for a murder.

THE COURT: When you talk about this

particular area, can you tell me how you show mitigating

circumstances to the extent to reduce a sentence of 25

to life to 22 to life, to 18 to life or to 15 to life?

How do you show that when the victim suffered 12 stab

wounds?

MR. MILLMAN: Well, I would start off by addressing that in each and every murder there is always going to be a suffering of wounds that inflict death by definition. Not down playing that, by any means, but --

THE COURT: I'm mainly concerned about the acts of the defendant in causing those wounds.

MR. MILLMAN: Okay. Well, and I will address

1.

that. As you know, your Honor, again, I just stress that my client has maintained his innocence and our position is the same regarding the accuracy of the jury's verdict.

That having been said, your Honor, the answer to my question is that this was an encounter that occurred on the street. It was an encounter that, I believe the evidence showed, there was evidence to support the fact that Mr. Villatoro had gone there as well, knowing that this was going to be a fight with my client.

And under the circumstances, my client's age, the fact that he has no prior criminal convictions, you know, I believe that those are mitigating circumstances, your Honor. This was clearly a situation in which it was the end product of a dispute that escalated into something completely out of hand.

THE COURT: We all know that at times that people settle their problems by quote, duking it out, unquote. That does not necessarily mean that one of the People involved in that kind of conduct should secrete a weapon on him to use it, if he's getting the worst of the deal. Go ahead.

MR. MILLMAN: And your Honor, no one is suggesting that that is appropriate. Again, as I said,

our position is that that is not what the evidence showed, but I do have to address those allegations and I recognize that.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. MILLMAN: I will address that and say again, this was an encounter that took place on the street. They both voluntarily submitted to the encounter.

I would also point out, your Honor, that, you know, as for the request for the maximum, entirely inappropriate, your Honor. You know, the justifications for the maximum, I mean, the fact that someone has died is no doubt a tragedy, again, I can't stress that enough, but that is always the case in a murder.

So again, the question has to be, I think, if your Honor is going to impose something more than the minimum for the murder, the question has to be not why this incident or this crime is more serious than other non-violent crimes, like a larceny or something else, but rather why this crime is more serious than other murders, because the range from the minimum to the maximum applies to murder and in all cases it will involve a tragedy, in all cases there will be someone that died and in all cases it will be violent. Again, we don't downplay that, but I think if your Honor is

1.2

going to impose more than the minimum, I would submit that there should be a reason to distinguish this particular murder as more serious than many other murders, and I submit that under these circumstances, this particular case calls for the minimum. Again, minimum for a murder, which is still an extremely heavy sentence.

And additionally, your Honor, as I indicated, I would ask your Honor to consider the circumstances of this particular case. I do believe, as I indicated, that the substantial doubt casted by the record regarding his guilt, you know, nobody saw a knife, no one saw him even making an overhead stabbing motion, not a shred of physical evidence links him to the knife or the stabbing. In fact, the physical evidence, as I argued, pointed to others.

Your Honor, just also with regard to the rape, you know, I understand what the jury has concluded. I do believe that there was significant credibility issues with the victim in terms of the fact that she had given numerous inconsistent statements to the police on very key facts. There was not a shred of physical evidence that linked my client to this rape and in fact, three witnesses, one of whom was a completely objective witness, placed my client at another location at the

1.0

time that the prosecution said this took place.

Your Honor was there to observe her demeanor and again, I say the following without intending in any way to downplay the fact that any rape in the first degree is serious, but even according to the testimony of the victim herself, the degree of penetration, while it would satisfy the statutory definition of penetration, was just at the surface, even by her own testimony, and by her own testimony, there was no violence, hitting, anything of that nature.

Again, I recognize that consent is -- there is no consent because of her age, but I do think that certainly, this is clearly different than on many other first degree rapes. And again, in terms of the minimum versus the maximum, I certainly believe that the only appropriate sentence on the rape would be the minimum.

And the reason for that is that again, the legislature has given the minimum and maximum. If this case, under these circumstances, does not warrant a minimum sentence, what first degree rape scenario could warrant a minimum? Again, no allegation of hitting, striking, anything. The entry just barely satisfied the statutory definition, just the surface. Again, I don't say it to downplay the seriousness of it, Judge, I'm talking in terms of the sentence, minimum, maximum and I

1.

1.5

clearly think under these circumstances, your Honor, the case screams out for the minimum on the rape, undoubtedly.

There is sometimes a tendency to characterize anyone who has been convicted of a crime like this as a hardcore violent criminal with a propensity to repeat acts and again, as I indicated, he has never been convicted of any crime and never engaged in a violent act.

And additionally, you know, I understand that sometimes the fire is fueled by -- and I'm not suggesting that your Honor is going to let this influence you, but sometimes there is an outcry for tough sentences just for the purpose of reducing crime, without regard to the circumstances of the particular offense.

Again, your Honor presided over this trial and your Honor has observed the demeanor of the witnesses, observed the trial, and you know, I ask that your Honor -- and I believe that your Honor will look at this not just in a vacuum of what the actual title of the crime was that he was convicted of, but also consider the circumstances, the circumstances of the crime, what was revealed during the course of the trial, and his age, the lack of any prior criminal record. I think

while obviously, the Court has and must consider the seriousness of the charges, I ask that those not be the only things looked at, your Honor.

And just a couple of more things, your Honor. I would just ask your Honor to consider the fact that even the very minimum on the murder charge and on the rape charge will, you know, result in my client being incarcerated for an extraordinary long period of time. And even with a minimum, it would be a 15-to-life sentence, meaning that if a parole board would determinate after 15 years that he either was not rehabilitated, he had not done anything in prison to warrant him being considered, you know, to be released on parole, that they would keep him in.

So I would ask your Honor to consider all of the circumstances here and I would also ask your Honor to consider the fact that, you know, there are people who depend upon him, including a daughter that he has, she's five years old. And you know, I would ask your Honor not to ignore the good, you know, that he has done.

Again, I'm not suggesting that it would erase the allegations here, if, in fact, they did occur, as the jury indicated, but rather that it's just a factor that should be considered.

1.0

1.3

One more thing, your Honor. I just -- there is a tendency to sometimes equate protestations of innocence with a lack of remorse and again, I want to point out that my client's heart and my heart and his family's heart truly goes out to this family and everything that they are suffering through, but my client has maintained his innocence and, you know, again, I don't believe that this Court should equate that with any lack of remorse whatsoever.

And in a moment, my client does want to say a couple of things to the Court, but before he does so, I just ask your Honor to consider all the circumstances here and impose the minimum for each of these crimes, and make them concurrent. And if your Honor is not inclined to make them concurrent, at the very least, impose the minimum for each of these offenses. I do feel the circumstances here warrant that, and so, your Honor, I'm going to at this time ask that my client be given an opportunity to be heard.

THE COURT: Sir, do you wish to say anything?

THE DEFENDANT: (English.) Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: (English.) I want to say sorry for the victim's family, for all the pain they felt. I still maintain my innocence.

21.

THE COURT: Just one second. You are speaking to me in English and there are some nuances at this particular time that I don't understand, so if you have no objection, I would like you to speak through your interpreter, so that I am absolutely sure that I am understanding it correctly.

THE DEFENDANT: (English.) All right,

(Through the interpreter.) I want to say I'm sorry to the family. I still maintain that I am innocent. I'm sorry that I fought with the victim, but I never hurt him. And I am not upset with his daughter, with the little one, because I know she has a reason why she's lying. But I believe that maybe sometime in the future, she will come out and tell the truth. And I'm sorry to my family as well for what they're going through.

And I'm still innocent, no matter what happens. Only time will tell what's going to happen. That's it.

THE COURT: The act of sexual penetration was testified to by the victim, as well as corroborated by medical evidence. You were identified by the victim who knew you. You were also identified by two young men who knew you, coming out of the women's bathroom with the victim. The evidence against you on the rape charge was

overwhelming.

I don't believe you when you say you are innocent. I believe that you are in a state of abject denial.

and intentional manner. You could have walked away after a single stab wound or multiple stab wounds and perhaps the victim would have survived, since only two of the wounds were fatal. Your intent was clear. You destroyed a number of family, including your own. Why you wanted to have some sexual relationship with a ten-year-old is beyond me, and at the same time, maintain your allegiance to your wife and family is mind boggling.

It's ordered and adjudged by this Court for the crime of murder in the second degree, a Class A-1 felony, of which you stand convicted after trial, on count 1 of the indictment, 202N of 2010, that you, Ulises Bonilla, are hereby sentenced to an indeterminate -- to an indeterminate term of imprisonment and the maximum of such sentence to be life and the minimum of such sentence to be 25 years, and that you be committed to the custody of the New York State Department of Correctional Services at Downstate Correctional Facility, Fishkill, for imprisonment for the term of

1 that sentence, until released in accordance with the 2 law. A surcharge of \$300, a \$25 Crime Victims' 3 Assistance fee and \$50 DNA surcharge are imposed on this 4 count. 5 Counsel, I assume you want that by civil 6 7 judgment? MR. MILLMAN: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Civil judgment. Not to be taken from inmate funds. 10 11 For the second count, it is further ordered 12 that for the crime of rape in the first degree, a Class 13 B violent felony, of which you stand convicted after 14 trial on the second count of this indictment, that you 15 serve a determinate sentence of imprisonment of seven 16 and a half years to be followed by 20 years of

> On the third count, for the crime of sexual abuse in the first degree, a Class D violent felony, you are sentenced to four years, to be followed by ten years post-release supervision.

> post-release supervision. This sentence is to be served

consecutively to the sentence under the murder charge.

For the fourth count, for the crime of sexual abuse in the first degree, a Class D violent felony, you are sentenced to four years, to be followed by ten years

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of post-release supervision.

1.1

For the crime of criminal possession of a weapon in the fourth degree, a Class A misdemeanor, of which you stand convicted under the fifth count, that you be given a definite sentence of one year in the Nassau County Correctional Center.

For the seventh count of endangering the welfare of a minor, of which you stand convicted after trial, that you be given a definite sentence of one year in the Nassau County Correctional Center.

You are ordered to pay a \$50 SORA fee and additional sex fee of \$1,000, also by civil judgment.

The sentences imposed -- the sentences under the first count and the second count are to run consecutive with each other. The remaining counts are to run concurrent.

THE CLERK: Mr. Bonilla, you have the right to appeal from this sentence and these proceedings. If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within 30 days. If you cannot afford to hire a lawyer or the minutes of these proceedings, you may make application to the Appellate Division which will, upon being satisfied that you cannot afford the same, order that an attorney be appointed and the minutes provided without any charge to

1	you. Your lawyer is directed by the Court to advise you				
2	in full and take the necessary steps indicated by you in				
3	this regard.				
4	MS. ABDI: Judge, just the indictment number				
5	is 202N of 2011. I believe it might have been said				
б	2010, but the indictment number is 2011.				
7	THE COURT: That's corrected.				
8	* * *				
9	I, Cindy Kaye-Fink, Senior Court Reporter, hereby				
10	certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript				
11	of the within proceedings.				
12					
13					
14	Cindy Nave-Fink				
15	Senior Court Reporter				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	•				
25					